

Dayton, OH 45402

United States Patent and Trademark Office

CNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/781,575	02/12/2001	Christopher R. Spejna	550134-077-1	9726	
7590 10/09/2003		EXAMINER			
Mark P. Levy			TALBOT, BRIAN K		
Thompson Hine 2000 Courthous			ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
10 West Second					

DATE MAILED: 10/09/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

×	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/781,575	SPEJNA, CHRISTOPHER R.					
, in the second of the second	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Brian K Talbot	1762					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 25 August 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the AND SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THITE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.00 sion and the corresponding amount of the latatutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. \$ I36(a) and the appropriat fee. The appropriate ex the final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2.⊠ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) \square they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:							
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: none.							
Claim(s) objected to: none.							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,5-13 and 17</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disap	proved by the Exan	niner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
10. Other:		Brian K Talbot Primary Examiner	7				
		Art Unit: 1762					

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/78₹;57३

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: All the Finally rejected claims did not require a workpiece having a longitudinal axis, wherein step (a) directs the first heated gas flow substantially pependicular to the longitudinal axis of the workpiece and also including during step a) the step of rotating the workpiece about the longitudinal axis.